

Faculty of Life Sciences Assessment sheet for final theses ¹

Surname	
Forename	
Student ID	
E-Mail (HU-Account)	
Degree (B.Sc., M.Sc./M.A., M.Ed.)	
Title of degree programme	
Major/minor (only B.Sc. combined)	
Title of the thesis	
This or the thirds	
A. Content-related as	ssessment
1. Task and formulation of objectives	Assessment comment
Did the student understand the objective?	
Have all important aspects been covered and	
is the subject-specific superordinated relation	
clear?	
Is the objective argued convincingly?	
2 12	A
2. Literature and source assessment Is the literature and other sources	Assessment comment
comprehensively and systematically evaluated	
and processed?	
How far is the actual state of research and	
technology worked out?	
assimising y marked out:	
3. Methods experimental thesis	Assessment comment
Are the selected methods suitable to answer	
the objective?	
Are the methods correctly described?	
Is the range of methods appropriate?	
4 Mothodo theoretical thesis	Accordment comment
4. Methods theoretical thesis	Assessment comment
4. Methods theoretical thesis Are the literature results reorganised in an issue-specific way?	Assessment comment

¹ The assessment comments in part A and B are just a tool to facilitate the assessment. If the assessment sheet should be used as an expert report, part C must be completed independently.

Is the topic being approached in a problem-	
solving way/manner?	
Is the range of the literature used	
appropriate?	

5. Results	Assessment comment
Are the results well prepared and presented?	
Are the selected presentation forms	
functional?	
Are the results adequately justified and	
reliable determined?	

6. Discussion	Assessment comment
Is the discussion adjusted to the objective?	
Is the used data critically assessed and	
squared to the literature knowledge?	
Are the conclusions comprehensible?	

7. Independence	Assessment comment
Are independent working hypothesis and assessments being developed?	
Is creativity and a depth of thinking recognisable?	
Is the competence to abstract, to problematise and to criticise recognisable?	
-	

B. Assessment of structure

1. Structure	Assessment comment
Is the structure of the thesis logical and balanced?	
Has the structure been developed independently (largely)?	
Are the particular chapters logically structured? Is the guiding thread recognisable?	



2 Language / grammar	Assessment comment
2. Language / grammar Is the subject-specific terminology used	A33C33IIICIIC COIIIIICIIC
correctly and is the linguistic expression	
appropriate?	
Are syntax, orthography and punctuation	
correct?	
Is the comprehensibility supported by useful	
examples, illustrations, clear graphics and	
convincing tables?	
convincing tables:	
3. Design, layout	Assessment comment
Are sources completely and correctly	
mentioned in the list of literature? Are they	
correctly cited?	
Are illustrations, graphics, tables etc. fully	
counted and labelled?	
counted and labelled:	
C. Additional comments and justification	tion of overall assessment
C. Additional comments and justifica	tion of overall assessment
Final grade ² (in words)	tion of overall assessment
	tion of overall assessment
Final grade ² (in words)	tion of overall assessment
Final grade ² (in words) Final grade (in numbers) Title, Forename, Surname expert	tion of overall assessment

 $^{^2}$ Please use the following key: 1,0; 1,3 = very good; 1,7; 2,0; 2,3 = good; 2,7; 3,0; 3,3 = satisfactory; 3,7; 4,0 = sufficient; 5,0 = insufficient.